

INTERPERSONAL SENSITIVITY AND SOCIAL STRESS: THE ROLE OF STATE EXPERIENTIAL AVOIDANCE

Kyra Bebus, BS; Meaghan Lewis, PhD; Amy Naugle, PhD; Tabitha DiBacco, BA; & Allie Mann, BS

DISCLOSURES (NO SUPPORT)

I have not received and will not receive any commercial support related to this presentation or the work presented in this presentation.

INTRODUCTION

EXPERIENTIAL AVOIDANCE: A QUICK OVERVIEW



• Experiential avoidance

 An unwillingness to contact aversive private events (e.g., thoughts, memories, feelings), associated with escape or avoidance of such events

OMaintained through negative reinforcement

- Transdiagnostic process
- ○Function over form
- Dimensional vs categorical approach to psychopathology
- •Conceptualized as a vulnerability factor

Hayes, Luoma, Masuda & Lillis, 2006

IN Does fail feedback INTERNATIONAL JOURN pain avo 2012, VOL. 7, NO. 2-3 Johanna H.C. Iris M. Engelha Equif Department of M Diff 616, 6200 MD Ma ^bInstitute for Reha Sabr ^cPain Managemer Maastricht, The N 11 Ini Abst In this study an ex Fund report, pain tolera respo affected the impact interp affect, or as a mod teers were included discri back; (3) neutral c or po obtain pain measur on h parison with succes havio pain was tolerated thera Differences between

strate

Kevv

Funct

ance, no differences

affect was not four

moderating the infl

remain to be answ

Clemens Kirschbaum Karl-Martin Pirke Dirk H. Hellhammer

European Journal o doi:10.1053/eujp.20

> Forschungsstelle für Psychobiologie und Psychosomatik, Universität Trier, BRD

Key Words

Cortisol

Humans

Stress

Public speaking

Mental arithmetic

The 'Trier Social Stress Test' -**A Tool for Investigating Psychobiological Stress Responses in a Laboratory** Setting

Abstract

This paper describes a protocol for induction of moderate psychological stress in a laboratory setting and evaluates its effects on physiological responses. The 'Trier Social Stress Test' (TSST) mainly consists of an anticipation period (10 min) and a test period (10 min) in which the subjects have to deliver a free speech and perform mental arithmetic in front of an audience. In six indepen- #rs^{1,5,6} dent studies this protocol has been found to induce considerable changes in the concentration of ACTH, cortisol (serum and saliva), GH, prolactin as well as significant increases in heart rate. As for salivary cortisol levels, the TSST reliably led to 2- to 4-fold elevations above baseline with similar peak cortisol concentrations. Studies are summarized in which TSST-induced cortisol increases elucidated some of the multiple variables contributing to the interindividual variation in adrenocortical stress responses. The results suggest that gender, genetics and nicotine consumption can influence the individual's stress responsiveness to psychological stress while personality traits showed no correlation with cortisol responses to TSST stimulation. From these data we conclude that the TSST can serve as a tool for psychobiological research.

Ambiguous /ior: Empirical

KED?

ast Orange, NJ, United States of te for Brain and Behaviour, University Affairs Ann Arbor Healthcare System, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, & Neuroscience, Rutgers-New Jersey of Psychology, Rutgers University-

nd is not to be disseminated broadly. publishers. of its allied one ion or (

[CI]

DI

ជ

report and pain tolerance. Study of Pain

THE PRESENT STUDY

Aim

- Evaluate relationships between socially and physically uncomfortable behavior analogue tasks
- Identify relevant predictors of change

Hypothesis

 Individuals that rank higher on interpersonal variables will demonstrate higher experiential avoidance in situations that involve emotional vs. physical discomfort

METHODS

PROCEDURE

Analogue measures

- TSST & cold pressor task (counterbalanced)
 - Threshold
 - Tolerance
 - Endurance conceptualized as key behavioral measure of experiential avoidance
 - Intensity

Self-report measures

- AAQ-II
- MEAQ
- SMEA state experiential avoidance
- S-DERS
- STAI state/trait anxiety
- PANAS negative affect
- BFNES fear of negative evaluations
- BSI interpersonal sensitivity
- SDS-17

PARTICIPANTS (N = 160)







Other

RESULTS

HIGH VS LOW INTERPERSONALLY SENSITIVE COMPARISONS

OHigh interpersonally sensitive participants: operationalized as above mean on interpersonal sensitivity (n = 91)

• Endured speech task significantly less ($t = -2.16^*$)

 No significant difference found related to endurance on arithmetic or cold pressor tasks

Had higher state experiential avoidance measure at baseline (t = 2.05*)
No significant difference found related to state experiential avoidance post-TSST or post-cold pressor

PREDICTING STATE EXPERIENTIAL AVOIDANCE POST-TSST

Summary of Hierarchical Regression Predicting State Experiential Avoidance Post-TSST								
Block	Variable	В	SE B	β	<i>t</i>	R ²	$R^2\Delta$	F
1)	Interpersonal Sensitivity	08	.19	03	43	.08		7.37***
	Trait Anxiety	.03	.03	.10	1.06			
2)	Fear of Negative Evaluations	.11	.03	.29	2.97**	.12	.04	7.12**
3)	Speech Endurance	00	.00	13	-1.69	.14	.02	2.88
Note. *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001.								

PREDICTING ARITHMETIC TASK ENDURANCE

Summary of Hierarchical Regression Predicting Arithmetic Task Endurance									
Block	Variable	В	SE B	β	t	R ²	$R^2\Delta$	F	
1)	Interpersonal Sensitivity	4.67	4.84	.08	.96	.00		.47	
	Trait Anxiety	.10	.91	.01	.11				
2)	Fear of Negative Evaluations	07	.99	00	07	.01	.01	.74	
3)	State Experiential Avoidance	-7.87	2.04	31	-3.85***	.09	.07	14.88***	
Note. *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001.									

PREDICTING SPEECH TASK ENDURANCE

Summary of Hierarchical Regression Predicting Speech Task Endurance								
Block	Variable	В	SE B	β	t	R ²	$R^2\Delta$	F
1)	Interpersonal Sensitivity	-4.71	3.70	11	-1.27	.05		4.71**
	Trait Anxiety	-2.25	.70	31	-3.21**			
2)	Fear of Negative Evaluations	2.22	.75	.29	2.93**	.09	.04	6.88**
3)	State Experiential Avoidance	-2.64	1.56	13	-1.69	.11	.01	2.88
Note $*n < 0.5$ $**n < 0.1$ $***n < 0.01$								

Note: p < .05, p < .01, p - .001

PEARSON'S CORRELATIONS

Endurance of speech with:

- Endurance of arithmetic task ($r = .23^{**}$)
- Completing full five minutes of arithmetic task ($r = .17^*$)
- Being high vs low interpersonally sensitive $(r = .17^*)$
- But not with endurance of cold pressor task

Trait anxiety with:

- Endurance of speech ($r = -.24^{**}$)
- Being high vs low interpersonally sensitive ($r = -.396^{**}$)
- Intensity of cold pressor ($r = .212^{**}$), speech ($r = .368^{**}$) and arithmetic ($r = .259^{**}$) tasks
- Leaving the speech task ($r = -.255^{**}$)
- But not with endurance of cold pressor or arithmetic tasks

DISCUSSION

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

•Being high vs low interpersonally sensitive is associated with endurance on the speech task and with levels of trait anxiety

•High interpersonally sensitive endured speech task significantly less and reported higher state experiential avoidance at baseline

•Fear of negative evaluations predict state EA on the TSST and endurance of speech task

• Endurance of the speech task is also predicted by trait anxiety

• State EA on the TSST predicts arithmetic endurance

• Endurance of speech task related to endurance of and completion of arithmetic, but not cold pressor tasks

• Trait anxiety associated with rating of intensity on all three tasks and with desire to leave the speech task, but not with endurance on the cold pressor and arithmetic tasks

LIMITATIONS

Generalizability

Demand characteristics

Self-report methodology Number of analogue contexts tested

FUTURE DIRECTIONS



Clinical sample



Cross-training applicability



Acceptance-based intervention



Test in more contexts



Behavioral measure of interpersonal sensitivity

CONCLUSIONS

- Contextual information seems to be an essential contributor in determining the likelihood of one engaging in experiential avoidance
- 2. Enduring socially uncomfortable situations may be more influenced by values, rule-governance and learning history
- 3. More attention should be paid to the contextual factors that one has a history of avoiding when developing a treatment plan for high avoiders



THANK YOU FOR ATTENDING

Now please associate with our behavior in a scientific context...and ask us questions!

REFERENCES

Darrow, S. M., Dalto, G., & Follette, W. C. (2012). Equifinality in functional analytic psychotherapy: Different strokes for different folks. *International Journal of Behavioral and Consultation Therapy*, 7, 38.

Hayes, S. C., Luoma, J. B., Bond, F. W., Masuda, A., & Lillis, J. (2006). Acceptance and commitment therapy: Model, processes and outcomes. Behavior Research and Therapy, 44, 1-25.

Kirschbaum, C., Pirke, K. M., & Hellhammer, D. H. (1993). The 'trier social stress test' – a tool for investigating psychobiological stress responses in a laboratory setting. *Neuropsychobiology*, 28, 76-81.

McAuliffe, D. (2004). Rule following and depressive symptomology in an adolescent population. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. National University of Ireland Maynooth, Co., Kildare.

Moustafa, A. A., Sheynin, J., Myers, C. E., & Boraud, T. (2015). The role of informative and ambiguous feedback in avoidance behavior: Empirical and computational findings. *PLOS One, 10, 10.*

van den Hout, J. H. C., Vlaeyen, J. W. S., Peters, M. L., Engelhard, I. M., & van den Hout, M. A. (2000). Does failure hurt? The effects of failure feedback on pain report, pain tolerance and pain avoidance. *European Journal of Pain, 4*, 335-346.